UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,662	09/23/2003	Chang Sup Lee	3449-0272P	9108
	7590 07/29/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	PARRA, OMAR S		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2623		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/667,662	LEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
OMAR PARRA	2623		

	OMAR PARRA	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the AMENIAN TO. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Christopher Grant/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623							

Continuation of 13. Other: The English translation was received and considered by the examiner. However, the applicant states that by presenting the English translation of Korean application KR71871/2002 the art of record, Daniels (US 2003/0074672) stops being prior art for the examined application. To this matter, the examiner disagrees.

The Daniel's date that the applicant is considering is December 11, 2002. However, Daniels claims priority over application 09/886695 filed on June 22, 2001 and over the PCT application PCT/US99/21900, which was published on March 30, 2000. The text on which the examiner relied on to show anticipation over applicant's invention as claimed, finds support on the specifications and drawings of said 09/886695 (at least on pages: 15 lines 17-35; 16, lines 1-16; 18, 1-12; 20 line 8- 22, line 22; 26, lines 9-36; 27, lines 13-32; 32, lines 17-25; 33, line 7-35 line 36; 39 line 9-41 line 25. Figs. 1, 6-10, 12, 14, 55-57, 60, 65, 69, 70, 75) and of the PCT application (at least on pages: 27, lines 20-36; 75 line 9-79 line 17; 93 line 34-96 line 24. Figs. 3K; 3q, 3s, 24, 27-31; 42-45(c), 60(c) - 60 (j); 70 (a),72 (a)-72 (m)), which are attached to the present response.

Therefore, the examiner respectfully believes that Daniels is still prior art for the application and keeps the rejection as indicated on the previous Office Action.

2